Body size estimation of Caimaninae specimens from the miocene of South America

Ana Laura S. Paiva, Pedro L. Godoy, Ray B.B. Souza, Wilfried Klein, Annie S. Hsiou

PII: S0895-9811(22)00258-9

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2022.103970

Reference: SAMES 103970

To appear in: Journal of South American Earth Sciences

Received Date: 1 July 2022

Revised Date: 2 August 2022

Accepted Date: 2 August 2022

Please cite this article as: Paiva, A.L.S., Godoy, P.L., Souza, R.B.B., Klein, W., Hsiou, A.S., Body size estimation of Caimaninae specimens from the miocene of South America, *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* (2022), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2022.103970.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Body size estimation of Caimaninae specimens from the Miocene of South America

3

4 Ana Laura S. Paiva¹, Pedro L. Godoy^{1,2}, Ray B. B. Souza¹, Wilfried Klein¹, Annie S. Hsiou¹

5

¹Department of Biology, Universidade de São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, São Paulo, Brazil

²Department of Anatomical Sciences, Stony Brook University, New York, United States

8

9 Abstract

10 Living crocodylians are frequently regarded as morphologically and ecologically conservative, contrasting with the group's rich fossil record, which reveals a much higher diversity. In 11 particular, Caimaninae is a striking example of such diversity, with only six extant species but 12 a myriad of extinct taxa, exhibiting remarkable morphological variation. Their skulls vary 13 14 substantially, with robust and flattened shapes, ranging from short to long snouts, and serve as 15 a basis for many evolutionary studies in the group. Previous works have demonstrated that the skull is a good proxy to estimate the body size of extinct crocodylians. In this study, we estimate 16 the body size of large Caimaninae specimens from the Miocene of South America, including 17 Purussaurus and Mourasuchus. For that, we elaborated a comprehensive dataset of body size 18 19 data collected from living crocodylians to generate regression equations. We performed regression analyses both including and excluding juvenile/subadult specimens, to account for 20 the possible influence of ontogeny on the relationship between cranial measurements and body 21 22 size. Furthermore, we also employed two different approaches (phylogenetic and non-23 phylogenetic) for estimating the body size of these Miocene caimanines. Our results indicate a 24 significant influence of ontogeny on the body proportions of crocodylians, suggesting that datasets used for estimating the body size of extinct taxa should not include juvenile specimens. 25 Moreover, the phylogenetic approach provided more conservative estimates, possibly as a result 26 27 of the phylogenetic position of the analyzed taxa, given that the body size metrics are strongly 28 phylogenetically structured in crocodylians. This is the first study to infer the body size of fossil 29 caimanines using different methods and skeletal measurements, as well as a dataset comprised of solely adult crocodylians. In the light of our results, we also discuss the paleobiological 30 implications of the large size of these Miocene caimanines. 31

32

33 Keywords: Crocodylians; body dimensions; regression analyses; paleobiology; Pebas System.

36 **1. Introduction**

37 As with other crocodylian subgroups, Caimaninae has a currently low species richness, with only six species distributed mostly across South and Central America (Grigg & Kirshner, 2015). 38 39 Most of the group displays relatively similar morphology and ecology, however the fossil record shows a much richer story, with more than 20 extinct species described. Even though 40 41 most fossils are from South America, specimens from northern North America reveal a wider geographical range (Brochu 1999, 2010, 2011; Scheyer et al., 2013; Pinheiro et al., 2013; 42 Hastings et al., 2013; 2016; Salas-Gismondi et al., 2015; Bona et al., 2018; Cossette & Brochu, 43 2018; Cidade et al., 2019; Godoy et al., 2021; Walter et al., 2021). The group also exhibited 44 higher morphological disparity, including variable cranial shapes, which is reflected in different 45 ecological roles played by its members (Salas-Gismondi et al., 2015; Wilberg, 2017; Cidade et 46 al., 2019; Godoy, 2019). In particular, Caimaninae shows remarkable body size variation, 47 ranging from the small *Tsoabichi greenriverensis* (less than a meter long; Brochu, 2010) to the 48 49 giant Purussaurus brasiliensis (with an estimated body length of more than 12 m; Aureliano et 50 al., 2015).

51 The Western Amazonian region, in northern South America, is well-known for its crocodylian-rich faunas during the Miocene (Brochu, 2003; Riff et al., 2010; Hoorn et al., 52 53 2010a; Cidade et al., 2019). The Miocene deposits of Colombia (middle Miocene, Honda 54 Group), Venezuela (late Miocene, Urumaco Formation), and northern Brazil (late Miocene, Solimões Formation) stand out for the presence of numerous caimanine specimens (Scheyer et 55 al., 2013; Salas-Gismondi et al., 2015; Scheyer & Delfino, 2016; Souza-Filho et al., 2018), 56 many of which inhabited a huge lake denominated as Pebas and Acre Systems. Some of these 57 taxa are also famously known for their large sizes and peculiar morphologies (e.g., 58 Purussaurus, Mourasuchus, Acresuchus). However, even though previous studies have 59 estimated the body sizes of some South American Miocene caimanines (e.g., Aureliano et al., 60 2015; Scheyer et al., 2019; Solórzano et al., 2019; Cidade et al., 2020), some relevant specimens 61 62 still lack reliable body size estimations, which precludes further investigations of the drivers behind the evolution of these large-sized caimanines. 63

Estimating the body size of extinct crocodyliforms can be challenging, given the distinct 64 body proportions of different subgroups, especially those phylogenetically distant from living 65 crocodylians (Young et al., 2016; Godoy et al., 2016; 2019). Nevertheless, this issue is 66 67 attenuated when skeletal measurements from extant crocodylians are used to estimate the body sizes of close extinct relatives, a practice that has been done by many studies, using different 68 measurements to estimate body size (e.g., femoral length, vertebral measures, cranial width, 69 orbito-dorsal cranial length, dorsal cranial length; Sereno et al., 2001; Hurlburt et al., 2003; 70 71 Farlow et al., 2005; O'Brien et al., 2019; Iijima & Kubo, 2020). Among these, cranial measurements are more frequently used to estimate the body size of crocodylians given that 72 73 cranial elements are more frequently available for the group (Mannion et al., 2019). Moreover, 74 linear regressions are often used to construct allometric regression equations that translate the 75 relationship between the skeletal measurement and body size (i.e., either total body length, 76 snout-vent length, or body mass). In this context, comprehensive datasets are necessary for 77 constructing such equations, which include measurements of many specimens of living crocodylians. 78

The body size of living crocodylians is directly related to ecological and physiological 79 aspects of these animals' biology (Grigg et al., 1998; Seebacher et al., 1999; Hurlburt et al., 80 2003; Seymour et al., 2012; 2013), and estimating the body size of extinct crocodylians can 81 82 provide us with important clues for inferring their ancient lifestyle and further features of the 83 associated paleoenvironments (Aureliano et al., 2015; O'Brien et al., 2019; Cidade et al., 2020; Solórzano et al., 2020). In the case of Caimaninae, body size is a particularly relevant feature 84 85 if we consider that some of its taxa are among the largest known crocodyliforms (Godoy et al., 2019; Scheyer et al., 2019). Additionally, the Miocene faunas of the Western Amazonia, which 86 87 supported numerous large-size caimanine taxa, offer an interesting opportunity to investigate the possible drivers of the evolution of larger sizes in the group (Hoorn et al., 2010a; Cidade et 88 89 al., 2019; Scheyer et al., 2019). In this study, we estimate the body sizes (i.e., body mass and 90 total body length) of large-sized caimanine specimens from the Miocene of South America, 91 using multiple approaches and accounting for potential biases caused by ontogeny. For that, we constructed a comprehensive dataset of living crocodylians with cranial and body size 92 measurements, which served as the basis for the methods applied here. Our results allowed us 93

- to make comparisons between methods used, as well as to better understand and discuss the 94 paleobiology of these large caimanines. 95
- 96

97 2. Material and Method

2.1 Institutional abbreviations 98

Centro de Investigaciónes Antropológicas, Arqueológicas y Paleontológicas, Coro, 99 100 Venezuela (CIAAP); Museu de Ciências da Terra, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil (DGM); Museo de Ciéncias Naturales de Caracas, Caracas, Venezuela (MCNC); University of California Museum 101 102 of Paleontology, Berkeley, USA (UCMP); Universidade Federal do Acre, Acre, Brasil (UFAC).

103

2.2 Fossils sampled and geological settings 104

We aimed to estimate the body size of some of the largest caimanines from the Miocene 105 of South America (Honda Group, Urumaco Formation, and Solimões Formation; Figure 1), 106 culminating in a sample of nine specimens (Figure 2), representing the genera *Purussaurus*, 107 108 Mourasuchus, and Acresuchus (Table 1). We used the software ImageJ to collect two cranial measurements (Figure 3) from photographs of the fossil specimens: dorsal cranial length (DCL, 109 measured from the tip of the snout to the posterior portion of the skull table) and skull width (or head width, HW, the width between the lateral margins of the quadrates). 111

112

Table 1. Fossil caimanine specimens from the Miocene of South America included in our body
 113 size estimation analyses. Dorsal-cranial length (DCL) and skull width (HW) measurements in 114

115 centimeters.

Taxon	Specimen number	Formation	DCL	HW
Acresuchus pachytemporalis	UFAC 2507	Solimões	53.2	34.0
Mourasuchus amazonensis	DGM 526-R	Solimões	113.5	59.0
Mourasuchus arendsi	CIAAP-1297	Urumaco	108.5	45.2
Mourasuchus atopus	UCMP 38012	Honda Group	71.2	27.0
Mourasuchus pattersoni	MCNC-PAL-110 72 V	Urumaco	108.1	52.9
Purussaurus brasiliensis	UFAC 1403	Solimões	140.6	98.0
Purussaurus mirandai	CIAAP-1369	Urumaco	122.8	78.6

Purussaurus neivensis	UCMP 39704	Honda Group	91.0	50.1
Purussaurus sp.	MCNC-PAL-112-72 V	Urumaco	88.8	65.7

The Miocene Western Amazonian region shows fine fluvial-lacustrine sediments, 117 extensive wetlands, and flooding by mega lakes and swamps (Cozzuol, 2006; Hoorn et al., 118 2010a; Muniz et al., 2021). The Solimões Formation is an extremely rich in fossils and is an 119 upper Miocene unit located in northwestern Brazil, recently dated to 8.5 ± 0.5 Ma and $10.89 \pm$ 120 121 0.13 Ma (Bissaro-Júnior et al., 2019). The Urumaco Formation, in Venezuela, is also from the upper Miocene (Díaz de Gamero & Linares, 1989) and exhibits a similarly extraordinary fauna 122 (Cozzuol, 2006; Sanchez-Villagra & Aguilera, 2006). Finally, the slightly older Honda Group 123 is middle Miocene in age (Langston & Gasparini, 1997) and located in southern Colombia. 124



Figure 1. Schematic image highlighting the provenance of the fossil specimens included in this study. Solimões Formation (Brazil), Honda Group (Colombia), and Urumaco Formation (Venezuela). Brazil, Colombia, and Venezuela are represented with green, red, and orange colors, respectively. The blue color represents the Pebas System, which extended through these three geological units. Modified from Hsiou (2010).

131



Figure 2. Caimaninae fossil specimens measured in this present study; a) Acresuchus pachytemporalis (UFAC 2507, scale bar = 50 mm); b) Mourasuchus amazoensis (DGM 526R, scale bar = 200 mm); c) M. arendsi (CIAAP-1297, scale bar = 100 mm); d) M. atopus (UCMP 38012, scale bar = 100 mm); e) M. pattersoni (MCNC-PAL-110 72 V, scale bar = 200 mm); f) Purussaurus brasiliensis (UFAC 1403, scale bar = 200 mm); g) P. mirandai (CIAAP-

1369, scale bar = 100 mm); h) *P. neivensis* (UCMP 39704, scale bar = 100 mm); i) *Purussaurus*sp. (MCNC-PAL-112 72 V, scale bar = 100 mm). Skull pictures taken from Cidade (2019).

140

141 2.3 Dataset of living crocodylians

We sought a comprehensive dataset of measurements of living crocodylians to estimate 142 143 the body size of extinct caimanines, from which we could regress the data to obtain the regression equations. Therefore, we constructed a dataset of 352 living crocodylian specimens, 144 representing 24 species. This dataset includes captive and wild individuals, with males and 145 females, as well as adults and juveniles. The majority of the data was collected from the 146 literature (Woodward et al., 1995; Grigg et al., 1998; Verdade, 2000; Seymour et al., 2012; 147 148 Godoy et al., 2019; Mannion et al., 2019; O'Brien et al., 2019), but also from living specimens, using a tape measure and a scale. The following measurements were collected: skull width 149 (HW), dorsal cranial length (DCL), total length (TL, measured from the tip of the snout to the 150 tip of the tail), and body mass (BM). The complete dataset is available in the Supplementary 151 152 Material.

153



154

Figure 3. Dorsal cranial length (DCL) and skull width (HW) measurements, indicated by
 dashed lines. Drawing based on a *Purussaurus brasiliensis* specimen (UFAC 1403).

157

158 2.4 The influence of ontogeny

As our dataset includes juvenile individuals, we accounted for a possible impact of ontogeny on the body proportions of crocodylians by creating two subsets: one with all specimens and another one excluding the juveniles. We used body size as a proxy for sexual maturity, depending on the species for selecting the adult specimens, following the literature (Verdade, 2000; Thorbjarnarson et al., 2001; Antelo, 2008; Da Silva & Lenin, 2010; Bazuijen

et al., 2010; Cox, 2010; Fergusson, 2010; Platt et al., 2010; Targarona et al., 2010; 164 Thorbjarnarson, 2010; Van Weerd, 2010; Webb et al., 2010; Gignac & Erickson, 2015; Shirley 165 et al., 2016; Briggs-Gonzales et al., 2017; Bashyal et al., 2021; Deem et al., 2021). Hatchlings 166 167 have about 50 grams of mass, approximately 30 cm in length, growing about "a foot a year" until reaching sexual maturity (7 to 15 years, depending on the species and sex; Grigg & 168 169 Kirshner, 2015). This demonstrates the different body proportions at different ontogenetic 170 stages. The resulting adults-only subset includes 206 specimens. We then accounted for the 171 influence of ontogeny on the body proportions by comparing the regression coefficients of both the complete dataset and the adults-only subset (using t-tests). These regressions were used to 172 173 investigate the relationships between the cranial measurement, DCL and HW, and the body size 174 indices, TL and BM.

175

176 2.5 Body size estimation methods

All collected measurements were log-transformed prior to the regression and body size 177 estimation analyses (Gingerich, 2000). Two different approaches, a non-phylogenetic and a 178 179 phylogenetic one, were applied to estimate the TL and BM of extinct Caimaninae. The nonphylogenetic method was solely based on linear regressions (ordinary least square; OLS), using 180 181 the data collected from the living crocodylians included in our dataset, which were log10transformed. We regressed both cranial measurements (DCL and HW) against both body size 182 indices (TL and BM), using both the complete dataset and the adults-only subset. The regression 183 results were used to obtain the equations which were then applied to estimate TL and BM of 184 185 the fossil specimens. The regressions were performed in R (version 4.1.3; R Core Team, 2022).

For the phylogenetic approach, we followed the protocol made available by O'Brien et 186 187 al. (2019), which uses phylogenetically-informed Bayesian analyses to predict body size (TL and BM) from HW. O'Brien et al. (2019) reported high phylogenetic signals of body size 188 metrics in Crocodylia, including HW, TL, and BM, therefore the usage of a phylogenetic 189 190 approach to account for the non-independence of allometric data in the group is justified. Phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS) regressions were used to analyze the 191 relationship between HW and body size (TL and BM) in a phylogenetic context. Also, taking 192 HW as the dependent variable, we used the BayesModelS method (Nunn & Zhu, 2014) to 193

estimate TL and BM, together with confidence and prediction intervals. This method assumes
a Brownian motion model of evolutionary change and uses Monte-Carlo Markov-Chain
(MCMC) to estimate an unknown variable of a specimen in a given phylogenetic framework
and from a dataset of measured variables (Garland & Ives 2000; Nunn & Zhu, 2014; O'Brien
et al., 2019).

199 We replaced the dataset used by O'Brien et al. (2019) with our own dataset of living 200 specimens, which were transformed into natural logarithms. O'Brien et al. (2019) also estimated the BM of fossils using a 25% mass reduction, considering that their dataset contains 201 202 only captive animals (given that the mass of captive crocodylians is, on average, 25% lower; Erickson et al., 2003; 2004). However, as our dataset contains both captive and wild crocodylian 203 specimens and preliminary analyses did not demonstrate a significant difference when a 25% 204 205 mass reduction was applied to the dataset, we decided not to reduce the mass of captive animals 206 in our dataset. As the phylogenetic framework, we updated the extant crocodylian tree used by 207 O'Brien et al. (2019), which in turn is a modified version of the molecular phylogeny (i.e., with sequences of nuclear genes and portions of mitochondrial genes) constructed by Erickson et al. 208 209 (2012). Based on the phylogenetic hypothesis of Godoy et al. (2020), we manually included *Caiman yacare* to the tree using Mesquite (version 3.70; Maddison & Maddison, 2021), as well 210 as the nine extinct caimanine taxa sampled in our study (Table 1). The final tree topology 211 includes 31 crocodylian taxa (Figure 4). As done by O'Brien et al. (2019), we added near-zero 212 213 (0.0001) branch lengths to the added extinct species. The BayesModelS predictions use phylogenetic signals (both Pagel's λ and Blomberg's K; Pagel, 1999; Blomberg et al., 2003), 214 215 which were calculated prior to the analyses. We implemented a MCMC run for 2,000,000 generations, with the first 500,000 generations discarded as burn-in and a thin of 1,000 to 216 217 estimate the TL and BM of fossil caimanines. BayesModelS and PGLS analyses were implemented in R, using an adapted version of the scripts made available by O'Brien et al. 218 219 (2019), which includes functions from R packages car (Fox & Weisberg, 2019), MASS (Venables & Riplay, 2002), caper (Orme et al., 2018), evomap (Smaers & Mongle, 2014), and 220 221 rms (Harrell, 2021). The R codes used in our analyses are available as Supplementary Material.



223

Figure 4. Crocodylian tree topology used for the phylogenetic body size estimation, modified from O'Brien et al. (2019). The tree includes branch lengths, following O'Brien et al. (2019), but these are not represented in this figure.

227

228 **3. Results**

229 3.1 The influence of ontogeny on crocodylian body proportions

We statistically assessed the influence of ontogeny on the relationship between the cranial measurements (DCL and HW) and body size (TL and BM) of living crocodylians by

comparing the regression coefficients when using the complete dataset or the adults-only
subset. Overall, OLS results showed strong relationships between the two cranial measurements
(HW and DCL) and both TL and BM, in agreement with previous works (e.g., Sereno et al.
2001; Hurlburt et al 2003; O'Brien et al. 2019). Also, the results with the complete dataset show
that the body size indices are slightly more strongly correlated with HW than with DCL.

Although apparently similar results are found independently of the dataset used, slightly weaker correlations are found when the adults-only subset is used. Indeed, the t-tests reveal significant differences in the regression results when comparing the two datasets, with the only exception of the relationship between HW and TL (Figure 5, Table 2). These results indicate a significant influence of ontogeny on the relationships between cranial measurements and body size indices. Therefore, we used solely the adults-only subset for both non-phylogenetic and phylogenetic body size estimation approaches.

244

Table 2. Ordinary least squares (OLS) regression results between cranial measurements (DCL and HW) and body size indices (TL and BM), using both the complete dataset and the adults-only subset. The t-tests were used to assess significant differences between regression slopes of each dataset, to account for the influence of ontogeny on body proportions. DCL – dorsal-cranial length; BM – body mass; HW – skull width; TL – total length. *Significant at alpha = 0.05.

	HW vs TL	DCL vs TL	HW vs BM	DCL vs BM
Complete dataset				
Intercept	1.215	1.010	-5.419	-6.163
Slope (p-value)	0.932 (<2.2e- 16*)	0.952 (<2.2e-16*)	3.130 (<2.2e-16*)	3.218 (<2.2e-16*)
R ²	0.984	0.974	0.988	0.933
Adults-only		•		
Intercept	1.220	1.209	-4.669	-4.621
Slope (p-value)	0.929 (<2.2e- 16*)	0.875 (<2.2e-16*)	2.804 (<2.2e-16*)	2.613 (<2.2e-16*)
R ²	0.924	0.969	0.943	0.915
t-test (p-values)				
	0.7337475	7.03E-10*	8.22E-33*	2.28E-15*

251



255

Figure 5. Ordinary least squares regressions (OLS) between dorsal cranial length and body size 256 257 indices (body mass and the total length, A and C, respectively), and head width against the body 258 size indices (B and D), using both the complete dataset and the adults-only subset. Orange triangles and line represent the complete dataset (juveniles + adults), while the green circles 259 260 and line represent only the adult living crocodylian specimens.

3.2 Non-phylogenetic body size estimation 262

263 The OLS results using the adults-only subset allowed us to create equations that describe the relationship between the cranial measurements (HW and DCL) and TL, as well as an 264 equation describing the relationship between TL and BM. The equations can be found below: 265

267 (1)
$$log_{10} (TL) = log_{10} (DCL) * 0.875 + 1.209$$

- 268 (2) $log_{10}(TL) = log_{10}(HW) * 0.929 + 1.220$
- 269 (3) $log_{10} (BM) = log_{10} (TL) * 3.003 + (-8.318)$
- 270

These equations were then used to estimate TL and BM for the nine fossil caimanine 271 272 specimens (Table 3). Overall, TL and BM estimated from DCL show higher values than those estimated from HW. The only exceptions are Purussaurus brasiliensis and Purussaurus sp., 273 274 which show higher values when body size is estimated from DCL. According to these estimates, Acresuchus reached up to 3.95 m and weighted up to 306.4 kg (using DCL). As for 275 Mourasuchus and Purussaurus, if we consider their largest species (M. amazonensis and P. 276 277 brasiliensis), these genera reached up to 7.67 and 10.01 meters, and weighted up to 2.25 and 3.95 metric tons, respectively. 278

279

Table 3. Body size estimates of the extinct caimanines based on ordinary least squares (OLS) regressions of living crocodylians. Total length (TL) was estimated from two cranial measurements (dorsal-cranial length, DCL; skull width, HW) and body mass (BM) was estimated from TL (which were in turn estimated from the two cranial measurements). TL in centimeters and BM in kilograms.

Taxon	TL from DCL	BM from DCL	TL from HW	BM from HW
A. pachytemporalis	395.3	306.4	374.4	260.3
M. amazonensis	767.7	2,250.3	624.8	1,212.5
M. arendsi	738.0	1,998.7	487.8	576.3
M. atopus	510.2	659.8	302.2	136.7
M. pattersoni	735.6	1,979.4	564.6	894.1
P. brasiliensis	926.0	3,952.7	1,001.3	4,999
P. mirandai	822.5	2,768.4	815.7	2,700.5
P. neivensis	632.6	1,258.3	536.7	768.2
Purussaurus sp.	619.2	1,179.8	690.5	1,637.2

285

286 *3.3 Phylogenetic body size estimation*

In agreement with O'Brien et al. (2019), PGLS regression results demonstrated that, for our dataset of living crocodylians, HW has a strong correlation with the body size indices (R²

>= 0.87; Figure 6 and Table 4). It is worth mentioning, however, that these correlations are 289 slightly weaker in comparison to the OLS regression results. Consequently, the phylogenetic 290 291 body size estimation using BayesModelS (Table 5) shows overall lower values than those 292 estimated with the non-phylogenetic approach. For example, the largest Mourasuchus (M. 293 amazonensis) was estimated to be 5.27 m long (mean value) and weighting 934.3 kg (mean 294 value). In comparison to the values estimated from HW using the non-phylogenetic approach, 295 this represents a reduction of about 15% in TL and 22% in BM. For Purussaurus brasiliensis, 296 a similar pattern is found, with the phylogenetic approach estimating mean values of 7.99 m and 3.5 tons (reductions of about 13% and 10% in TL and BM, respectively). According to the 297 298 phylogenetic estimates, Acresuchus was estimated to 3.4 m and 225.6 kg (mean values), a 299 reduction of about 9% and 13% in comparison to the non-phylogenetic approach.

300

Table 4. Phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS) regression result. BM: body mass;
 HW: skull width; TL: total length. *Significant at alpha = 0.05.

	HW vs. TL	HW vs. BM
Slope (p-value)	0.7877 (< 2.2e-16*)	2.6690 (< 2.2e-16*)
Intercept	3.0929	-3.8928
R ²	0.921	0.872

303

Table 5. Body size estimates of extinct caimanines using a phylogenetic approach. 97.5%
 confidence intervals are shown between parentheses. Total length (TL) in centimeters and body
 mass (BM) in kilograms.

Taxon	TL	BM
A. pachytemporalis	340.1 (304.7 - 378.6)	225.6 (148.0 - 343.8)
M. amazonensis	527.3 (465.4 - 598.6)	934.3 (585.9 - 1,509.9)
M. arendsi	424.9 (376.0 - 479.4)	466.6 (293.7 - 723.6)
M. atopus	280.4 (251.2 - 313.5)	121.9 (105.9 - 183.2)
M. pattersoni	483.9 (428.7 - 548.9)	702.2 (441.9 - 1,140.6)
P. brasiliensis	799.7 (761.6 - 927.5)	3,520.4 (2,079.8 - 6,294.3)
P. mirandai	669.8 (638.8 - 765.6)	1,977.9 (1,194.1 – 3,235.0)
P. neivensis	465.2 (446.8 - 522.5)	621.7 (387.5 - 996.5)
Purussaurus sp.	579.0 (552.4 - 659.0)	1,285.7 (836.6 - 2,721.0)



310 Figure 6. Phylogenetic generalized least squares (PGLS) regressions between skull width/head width against body mass (A) and total length (B), represented by the solid blue lines. The dashed 311 lines represent the 97.5% of confidence interval. Skull width and total length are in centimeters 312 313 (cm) and mass in kilograms (kg), but all into natural log-transformed.

4. Discussion 315

4.1 The issue of the juveniles and the usage of the DCL as a proxy for total body size 316

Living crocodylians are usually regarded as juvenile throughout their first/second years 317 after hatching, and the allometric changes during ontogeny vary across species and availability 318 319 of resources (Lang, 1987; Grigg & Kirshner, 2015). Various factors can be related to the differences in body proportions from juvenile to adult in each crocodylian species, including 320 321 habitat use, food supply, and temperature (Lang, 1987). These allometric changes throughout ontogeny are reflected in our regression results (Figure 5, Table 2), which show significantly 322 323 different relationships between the cranial measurements and the body size indices when the adults-only subset is used (except for the relationship between HW and TL). This demonstrates 324 325 the importance of taking the ontogeny of specimens into account when constructing the datasets 326 used as sources for estimating the body size of extinct crocodylians.

In this context, the inclusion of juveniles in the dataset of previous studies might be 327 problematic. For example, Aureliano et al. (2015) estimated the total body length of 328 Purussaurus brasiliensis using a small dataset composed of 29 Caiman latirostris specimens 329 (dataset originally from Verdade, 2000), which includes juveniles. The authors used the same 330 Purussaurus specimen analyzed in our study (UFAC-1403) to estimate a mean TL of about 331

12.5 m for this taxon (using DCL to estimate TL). This value is significantly higher than our 332 estimate (9.26 m) using DCL in the non-phylogenetic approach. Consequently, the body mass 333 334 estimated for this specimen by Aureliano et al. (2015) was also substantially higher than ours 335 (about 8.5 tons compared to nearly 4 tons). Similarly, Cidade et al. (2020) also used the same dataset with juveniles (from Verdade, 2000) to estimate the TL of different Mourasuchus 336 337 specimens, some of which are also included in our analyses. The differences are also 338 substantial, with *M. amazonensis* (specimen DGM 526-R) estimated to 9.9 m by Cidade et al. 339 (2020) and to 7.67 m by our non-phylogenetic approach using DCL. These conflicting results are likely at least partially due to the inclusion of juveniles and/or subadults in the living 340 341 crocodylian datasets used for generating the regression equations. Therefore, we advocate for using only adult specimens in these datasets when estimating the body size of extinct 342 343 crocodylians.

Another important aspect revealed by our results is the comparison between DCL and 344 HW as proxies for body size in crocodylians. Our regression results showed that the body size 345 indices (TL and BM) are more strongly correlated with HW than with DCL (Figure 5, Table 346 347 2). Additionally, we found no significant difference in the relationship between HW and TL when juveniles are considered, contrasting with what was found for DCL. This might be a 348 consequence of the plasticity of the rostral region in crocodylians, considering that DCL is a 349 350 measurement that includes the rostrum. Multiple lineages convergently displaying elongated 351 rostra (Wilberg, 2017; Godoy, 2019) and the effect of longirostry is a known issue in the group, affecting phylogenetic analyses (e.g., Pol & Gasparini, 2009) and also body size estimations 352 353 (Erickson et al., 2012; Aureliano et al., 2015; Godoy et al., 2019; Godoy & Turner, 2020). Accordingly, although DCL has been used by various studies to estimate the body size of extinct 354 355 crocodylians (perhaps for being a more commonly available measurement), other measurements have been proposed as alternatives (e.g., femoral length, vertebral measurements 356 357 and other cranial measurements; Hurlburt et al., 2003; Farlow et al., 2005; Iijima & Kubo, 2020). Among these, O'Brien et al. (2019) successfully demonstrated a strong correlation 358 359 between skull width (HW) and body size in crocodylians, which is consistently conserved across the group. Here, we provide further support for the usage of HW for estimating the body 360 size of extinct crocodylians, with results suggesting that it is comparatively more robust than 361 DCL in maintaining a proportional allometry with total length along the ontogeny. 362

364 *4.2 Phylogenetic and non-phylogenetic approaches*

Using estimates obtained solely from HW as a reference, the non-phylogenetic approach 365 366 provided consistently higher body size values (TL or BM) than the mean values estimated with 367 the phylogenetic method. Indeed, the body sizes estimated with the non-phylogenetic approach are much closer to the upper quartile of the confidence interval calculated with the phylogenetic 368 369 method (Tables 3 and 5). This pattern of lower body size values estimated by the phylogenetic method is consistent with what was reported by O'Brien et al. (2019), which also found 370 371 relatively more conservative estimates of specimens previously analyzed by other studies (e.g., Sereno et al., 2001), and might be a consequence of a relatively weaker correlation between 372 HW and body size than that found by linear regressions (e.g., OLS). 373

374 The strong phylogenetic signal reported by O'Brien et al. (2019) indicates that body 375 size metrics are strongly phylogenetically structured in crocodylians. Consequently, the 376 phylogenetic positions of taxa analyzed have an influence on the estimated values (O'Brien et al., 2019). Except Melanosuchus niger, extant caimanines are not among the largest-sized 377 378 crocodylians, which might result in a rather small size estimated for their common ancestor. However, some of these taxa (in particular Mourasuchus and Purussaurus) are giant "outliers" 379 380 among other caimanines, and possibly reached such large sizes as a result of faster body size 381 evolutionary rates in that part of the tree. Given that our phylogenetic approach uses a dataset 382 constructed solely with living taxa, this method cannot account for these potential faster rates 383 in parts of the tree with taxa not sampled in the dataset. Given that all taxa analyzed in our study 384 are included in relatively similar phylogenetic positions near the base of Caimaninae, perhaps the influence of a small- to medium-sized putative Caimaninae ancestor resulted in more 385 conservative body size estimations for these specimens. 386

Accordingly, we might conclude that both phylogenetic and non-phylogenetic methods are potentially problematic in the case of giant Miocene caimanines. In contrast with the phylogenetic method, the non-phylogenetic approach returned possible overestimates, given that the linear regressions disregard the phylogenetic structure of the data, as well as the variation in body proportions across different taxa. Perhaps a middle ground between estimates from both approaches would be closer to the real body sizes of these Miocene caimanines.

394 *4.3 Paleobiological implications*

Body size is strongly associated with many aspects of the biology of crocodylians, 395 396 including ecology (e.g., specialization of diet, habitat) and physiology (Grigg et al., 1998; 397 Seebacher et al., 1999; Seymour et al., 2012; Godoy et al., 2019; Godoy & Turner, 2020; Gearty 398 & Payne, 2020). It is not different for extinct crocodylians, such as the Miocene Caimaninae 399 from South America, the focus of this study. Among these is Purussaurus brasiliensis, which was one of the largest known crocodyliforms and could feed on large terrestrial prey (Aureliano 400 401 et al., 2015; Cidade et al., 2019). *Mourasuchus* was relatively smaller, but with a proportionally much longer skull, which is hypothesized to be associated with a "gulp-feeding" habit (Cidade 402 403 et al., 2017). Acresuchus was a generalist predator (Souza-Filho et al., 2018), with a bauplan more similar to that of living caimanines. 404

In the middle Miocene, the Pebas System formed a cluster of lakes, rivers, and swamps 405 406 with a degree of marine influence, which extended from the Acre region, part of the Brazilian Amazon, Peru, Venezuela, Colombia, and Bolivia (Wesselingh & Salo, 2006; Hoorn et al., 407 408 2010a, b; Alvim et al., 2021). This mega-wetland system originated about 23 Ma (Hoorn et al., 2010a; Wesselingh et al., 2006) as a result of the Andean uplift, making all hydrodynamics flow 409 410 from the mountains to the central portion of northwestern South America, promoting the 411 diversification of invertebrate and vertebrate faunas (Wesselingh & Salo, 2006), and high availability of resources (Hoorn et al., 2010a, b). This uplift created a barrier in the atmospheric 412 413 circulation that consequently resulted in drastic climate changes (Hoorn et al., 2010a). The 414 middle Miocene was known as the Miocene Climatic Optimum, due to its significantly increasing temperatures (Buchardt, 1978; Böhme, 2003; Kaandorp et al., 2005; Super et al., 415 2018; Methner et al., 2020; Steinthorsdottir et al., 2020). In contrast, the lake in the early late 416 Miocene, approximately 10 Ma, went from a lacustrine to a fluvial system, known as Acre 417 System (Hoorn et al., 2010a; Latrubesse et al., 2010), in which the vertebrate fauna, especially 418 the crocodylians, reached its peak in body size. These simultaneous factors allowed the 419 evolution and diversification of these peculiar crocodylians who shared this ecosystem. 420

In living crocodylians, diet varies according to the size of the individual (Brochu, 2001;
Aureliano et al., 2015; Grigg & Kirshner, 2015), with cranial shape also influencing dietary

specialization (Gignac et al., 2019). Caimaninae has a high cranial disparity, which is reflected 423 424 in a variable diet across and within species. Taxa with broader skulls maximize the amount of 425 food ingested (Piras et al., 2014), whereas those with short rostra usually feed on terrestrial 426 mammals and reptiles, and those with long snouts, have a more piscivorous or invertebrate diet 427 (Webb et al., 1978; Cidade et al., 2019). Regarding body size, we can hypothesize that larger 428 taxa were relatively slower, suggesting less active predatory strategies. The decrease in 429 swimming performance in Crocodylus porosus specimens was observed as the body length 430 increase, which influences on prey capture (Elsworth et al., 2003). Additionally, large body sizes hinder the locomotion in a terrestrial environment (Blanckenhorn, 2000), which is 431 432 consistent with the semiaquatic lifestyle proposed for these large caimanines of the Pebas and Acre Systems. The time spent in water could also be associated with thermoregulation (i.e., to 433 434 avoid overheating), given that large organisms dissipate heat at much lower rates due to their 435 relatively surface/volume ratio (Grigg et al., 1998; Markwick, 1998; Seebacher et al., 1999, 436 2003).

437

438 5. Conclusions

We constructed a large dataset with body size data from living crocodylian specimens for 439 440 estimating the total length (TL) and body masses (BM) of extinct caimanines from the Miocene 441 of South America. In doing so, we also investigated the influence of ontogeny on the 442 relationship between cranial measurements and body size indices. We found that these 443 relationships change during ontogeny, with dorsal cranial length (DCL) being relatively more 444 susceptible to variation than skull width (HW). Therefore, we strongly advocate against the 445 inclusion of juvenile and/or subadult specimens in datasets used for estimating the body sizes of extinct crocodylians. 446

Using a subset with only adult specimens, we estimated the body sizes of nine extinct caimanine specimens from the Miocene of South America, using a phylogenetic and a nonphylogenetic approach. Overall, the phylogenetic approach provided more conservative estimates, possibly a result of the phylogenetic placement of the specimens within Caimaninae, a group of comparatively small- to medium-sized extant species. As our phylogenetic method cannot account for potential increased body size evolutionary rates in parts of the tree, we

453 hypothesize that a possible small-sized Caimaninae ancestor had a significant influence on 454 estimating lower body size values for the extinct specimens. On the other hand, the non-455 phylogenetic approach cannot account for the non-independency of the data (and the variation 456 of body proportions in different crocodylian taxa), possibly extrapolating the estimates. We 457 therefore conclude a middle ground between the values estimated by both methods might be 458 closer to the real body sizes of these specimens.

459

460 Supplementary Material

All data and code used in the analyses can be found in a dedicated Zenodo repository
 (https://zenodo.org/record/6788500#.Yr9IEnbMLrc), doi: 10.5281/zenodo.6788500.

463

464 Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Giovanne Mendes Cidade for photographs of the skull of the 465 extinct Caimaninae, and also Dr. Mário Bronzati and "Caimasul breeding site" (Mato Grosso 466 do Sul, Brazil) for measurements of ten living Caiman yacare. We further thank the editor 467 Francisco J. Vega to administrate this manuscript, and also for the revision of Tito Aureliano 468 and one anonymous reviewer for helping implement of the same, with great and carefully 469 470 suggestions. ALSP was supported by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES; grant number: 88887.464817/2019-00). PLG was supported by the National 471 472 Science Foundation (NSF DEB 1754596). RBBS was supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq; process no. 159089/2021-2). WK also 473 received support from CNPq (process no. 308249/2019-4). This work was also supported CNPq 474 475 (310948/2021-5) and FAPESP (2019/14153-0) to ASH.

476

477 **References**

Alvim, A.M.V., Santos, R.V., Roddaz, M., Antoine, P., Ramos, M.I.F., Carmo, D.A., Linhares,
A.P., Negri, F.R., 2021. Fossil isotopic constraints (C, O and 87Sr/86Sr) on Miocene
shallow-marine incursions in Amazonia. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,
Palaeoecology, 573, 110422.

- Antelo, R., 2008. Biología del Cocodrilo o Caimán del Orinoco (*Crocodylus intermedius*) en la
 Estación Biológica El Frío, Estado Apure (Venezuela). Ph.D. thesis, Universidad
 Autónoma de Madrid (Spain).
- Aureliano, T., Ghilardi, A.M., Guilherme, E., Souza-Filho, J.P., Cavalcanti, M., Riff, D., 2015.
 Morphometry, bite-force, and paleobiology of the Late Miocene Caiman *Purussaurus brasiliensis*. PLoS One, 10, e0117944.
- Bashyal, A., Shrestha, S., Luitel, K.P., Yadav, B.P., Khadka, B., Lang, J.W., Densmore, L.D.,
 2021. Gharials (*Gavialis gangeticus*) in Bardiya National Park, Nepal: Population,
 habitat and threats. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, 31,
 2594–2602.
- Bezuijen, M.R., Shwedick, B.M., Sommerlad, R., Stevenson, C., Steubing, R.B., 2010.
 Tomistoma *Tomistoma schlegelii*. Crocodiles. Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan, 3, 133–138.
- Bissaro-Júnior, M.C., Kerber, L., Crowley, J.L., Ribeiro, A.M., Ghilardi, R.P., Guilherme, E.,
 Negri, F.R., Souza-Filho, J.P., Hsiou, A.S., 2019. Detrital zircon U–Pb geochronology
 constrains the age of Brazilian Neogene deposits from Western Amazonia.
 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 516, 64–70.
- Blanckenhorn, W.U., 2000. The evolution of body size: what keeps organisms small? The
 Quarterly Review of Biology, 75, 385–407.
- Blomberg, S.P., Garland, T., Ives, A.R., 2003. Testing for phylogenetic signal in comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. Evolution, 57,717–745.
- Böhme, M., 2003. The Miocene climatic optimum: evidence from ectothermic vertebrates of
 Central Europe. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 195, 389–401.
- Bona, P., Ezcurra, M.D., Barrios, F., Fernandez-Blanco, M.V., 2018. A new Palaeocene crocodylian from southern Argentina sheds light on the early history of caimanines.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 285, 20180843.
- Briggs-Gonzalez, V., Bonenfant, C., Basille, M., Cherkiss, M., Beauchamp, J., Mazzotti, F.,
 2017. Life histories and conservation of long-lived reptiles, an illustration with the
 American crocodile (*Crocodylus acutus*). Journal of Animal Ecology, 86, 1102–1113.
- Brochu, C.A., 1999. Phylogenetics, taxonomy, and historical biogeography of Alligatoroidea.
 Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, 19, 9–100.
- Brochu, C.A., 2001. Crocodylian snouts in space and time: phylogenetic approaches toward
 adaptive radiation. American Zoologist, 41, 564–585.
- Brochu, C.A., 2003. Phylogenetic approaches toward crocodylian history. Annual Review of
 Earth and Planetary Sciences, 31, 357–397.

- Brochu, C.A., 2010. A new alligatorid from the lower Eocene Green River Formation of
 Wyoming and the origin of caimans. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, 30, 1109–
 1126.
- Brochu, C.A., 2011. Phylogenetic relationships of *Necrosuchus ionensis* Simpson, 1937 and
 the early history of caimanines. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 163, S228–
 S256.
- Buchardt, B., 1978. Oxygen isotope palaeotemperatures from the Tertiary period in the North
 Sea area. Nature, 275, 121–123.
- Cidade, G.M., Fortier, D., Hsiou, A.S., 2019. The crocodylomorph fauna of the Cenozoic of
 South America and its evolutionary history: a review. Journal of South American Earth
 Sciences, 90, 392–411.
- Cidade, G.M., Rincón, A.D., Solórzano, A., 2020. New cranial and postcranial elements of
 Mourasuchus (Alligatoroidea: Caimaninae) from the late Miocene of Venezuela and
 their palaeobiological implications. Historical Biology, 33, 1–13.
- Cossette, A.P., Brochu, C.A., 2018. A new specimen of the alligatoroid *Bottosaurus harlani*and the early history of character evolution in alligatorids. Journal of Vertebrate
 Paleontology, 38, 1–22.
- Cozzuol, M.A. 2006. The Acre vertebrate fauna: age, diversity, and geography. Journal of South
 American Earth Sciences, 21, 185–203.
- Cox, J.H., 2010. New Guinea freshwater crocodile *Crocodylus novaeguineae*. Crocodiles.
 Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan, 3, 90–93.
- Da Silva, A., Lenin, J., 2010. Mugger crocodile *Crocodylus palustris*. Crocodiles. Status Survey
 and Conservation Action Plan, 3, 94–98.
- 540 Deem, V.R., Letter, A.W., Woodward, A.R., Ford, R.M., Moore, B.C., 2021. Maximum size of
 541 female American alligators and a new record total length and weight. Southeastern
 542 Naturalist, 20, N7.
- 543 Díaz de Gamero, M.L., Linares, O.J., 1989. Estratigrafía y paleontología de la Formación
 544 Urumaco, del Mioceno tardío de Falcón noroccidental. VII Congreso Geológico
 545 Venezolano, Memorias, vol. 1, pp. 419–438.
- Elsworth, P. G., Seebacher, F., & Franklin, C. E. 2003. Sustained swimming performance in crocodiles (*Crocodylus porosus*): effects of body size and temperature. *Journal of Herpetology*, 363-368.
- Erickson, G.M., Lappin, A.K., Vliet, K.A., 2003. The ontogeny of bite-force performance in
 American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*). Journal of Zoology, 260, 317–327.

- Erickson, G.M., Lappin, A.K., Parker, T., Vliet, K.A., 2004. Comparison of bite-force
 performance between long-term captive and wild American alligators (*Alligator mississippiensis*). Journal of Zoology, 262, 21–28.
- Erickson, G.M., Gignac, P.M., Steppan, S.J., Lappin, A.K., Kent, A.V., Brueggen, J.D., Inouye,
 B.D., Kledzik, D., Webb, G.J.W., 2012. Insights into the ecology and evolutionary
 success of crocodilians revealed through bite-force and tooth-pressure experimentation.
 PLoS One, 7, e31781.
- Farlow, J.O., Hurlburt, G.R., Elsey, R.M., Britton, A.R., Langston Jr, W., 2005. Femoral dimensions and body size of *Alligator mississippiensis*: estimating the size of extinct mesoeucrocodylians. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, 25, 354–369.
- Fergusson, R.A., 2010. Nile crocodile *Crocodylus niloticus*. *Crocodiles*. Crocodiles. Status
 Survey and Conservation Action Plan, 3, 84–89.
- Fox, J., Weisberg, S., 2019. An R Companion to Applied Regression, Third edition. Sage,
 Thousand Oaks CA. <u>https://socialsciences.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion/</u>.
- Garland, Jr, T., Ives, A.R., 2000. Using the past to predict the present: confidence intervals for
 regression equations in phylogenetic comparative methods. The American Naturalist,
 155, 346–364.
- Gearty, W., Payne, J.L., 2020. Physiological constraints on body size distributions in Crocodyliformes. Evolution, 74, 245–255.
- Gignac, P.M., Erickson, G.M., 2016. Ontogenetic bite-force modeling of *Alligator mississippiensis*: implications for dietary transitions in a large-bodied vertebrate and the
 evolution of crocodylian feeding. Journal of Zoology, 299, 229–238.
- Gignac, P.M., O'Brien, H.D., Turner, A.H., Erickson, G.M., 2019. Feeding in crocodylians and
 their relatives: functional insights from ontogeny and evolution. In: Bels, V., Whishaw,
 I.Q. (Eds.), Feeding in Vertebrates. Springer, Cham, 575–610.
- Gingerich, P.D., 2000. Arithmetic or geometric normality of biological variation: an empirical
 test of theory. Journal of Theoretical Biology, 204, 201–221.
- Godoy, P.L., 2019. Crocodylomorph cranial shape evolution and its relationship with body size
 and ecology. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 33, 4–21.
- Godoy, P.L., Turner, A.H., 2020. Body size evolution in crocodylians and their extinct relatives.
 eLS, 1, 442–452.
- Godoy, P.L., Bronzati, M., Eltink, E., Marsola, J.C.A., Cidade, G.M., Langer, M.C.,
 Montefeltro, F.C., 2016. Postcranial anatomy of *Pissarrachampsa sera*(Crocodyliformes, Baurusuchidae) from the Late Cretaceous of Brazil: insights on
 lifestyle and phylogenetic significance. PeerJ, 4, e2075.

- Godoy, P.L., Benson, R.B., Bronzati, M., Butler, R.J., 2019. The multi-peak adaptive landscape
 of crocodylomorph body size evolution. BMC Evolutionary Biology, 19, 1–29.
- Godoy, P.L., Cidade, G.M., Montefeltro, F.C., Langer, M.C., Norell, M.A., 2021. Redescription
 and phylogenetic affinities of the caimanine *Eocaiman cavernensis* (Crocodylia,
 Alligatoroidea) from the Eocene of Argentina. Papers in Palaeontology, 7, 1205–1231
- Grigg, G.C., Seebacher, F., Beard, L.A., Morris, D., 1998. Thermal relations of large crocodiles,
 Crocodylus porosus, free-ranging in a naturalistic situation. Proceedings of the Royal
 Society B, 265, 1793–1799.
- Grigg, G. & Kirshner, D., 2015. Biology and Evolution of Crocodylians. CSIRO Publishing,
 Clayton.
- Harrell, F.E., 2017. rms: Regression Modeling Strategies. R package version 6.2-0.
 <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rms</u>.

Hastings, A.K., Bloch, J.I., Jaramillo, C.A., Rincon, A.F., MacFadden, B.J., 2013. Systematics
and biogeography of crocodylians from the Miocene of Panama. Journal of Vertebrate
Paleontology, 33, 239–263.

- Hastings, A.K., Reisser, M., Scheyer, T.M., 2016. Character evolution and the origin of
 Caimaninae (Crocodylia) in the New World Tropics: new evidence from the Miocene
 of Panama and Venezuela. Journal of Paleontology, 90, 317–332.
- Hoorn, C., Wesselingh, F.P., Ter Steege, H., Bermudez, M.A., Mora, A., Sevink, J., Sanmartín,
 I., Sanchez-Meseguer, A., Anderson, C.L., Figueiredo, J.P., Jaramillo, C., Riff, D.,
 Negri, F.R., Hooghiemstra, H., Lundberg, J., Stadler, T., Särkinen, T., Antonelli, A.,
 2010a. Amazonia through time: Andean uplift, climate change, landscape evolution,
 and biodiversity. *Science*, *330*, 927–931.
- Hoorn, C., Wesselingh, F.P., Hovikoski, J., Guerrero, J., 2010b. The development of the
 Amazonian mega-wetland (Miocene; Brazil, Colombia, Peru, Bolivia). In: Hoorn, C.,
 Wesselingh, F.P. (Eds.), Amazonia, Landscape and Species Evolution. Wiley, Oxford,
 123–142.
- Hsiou, A.S., 2010. Lagartos e serpentes (Lepidosauria, Squamata) do Mioceno Médio-Superior
 da região norte da América do Sul. Ph.D. thesis, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande
 do Sul (Brazil).
- Hurlburt, G.R., Heckert, A.B., Farlow, J.O., 2003. Body mass estimates of phytosaurs
 (Archosauria: Parasuchidae) from the Petrified Forest Formation (Chinle Group:
 Revueltian) based on skull and limb bone measurements. New Mexico Museum of
 Natural History and Science Bulletin, 24, 105–113.
- Iijima, M., Kubo, T., 2020. Vertebrae-based body length estimation in crocodylians and its
 implication for sexual maturity and the maximum sizes. Integrative Organismal
 Biology, 2, obaa042.

- Kaandorp, R.J., Vonhof, H.B., Wesselingh, F.P., Pittman, L.R., Kroon, D., van Hinte, J.E.,
 2005. Seasonal Amazonian rainfall variation in the Miocene climate optimum.
 Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 221, 1–6.
- Lang, J.W., 1987. Crocodilian thermal selection. In: Webb, G.J.W., Manolis, S.C., Whitehead,
 P.J. (Eds.), Wildlife Management: Crocodiles and Alligator. Surrey Beatty, Sydney,
 301–317
- Langston, W., Gasparini, Z., 1997. Crocodilians, *Gryposuchus*, and the South American gavials. In: Kay, R.F., Madden, R.H., Cifelli, R.L., Flynn, J.J. (Eds.), Vertebrate
 Paleontology in the Neotropics: The Miocene Fauna of La Venta, Colombia.
 Smithsonian Institute, Washington DC, 113–154.
- Latrubesse, E.M., Cozzuol, M., Silva-Caminha, S.A., Rigsby, C.A., Absy, M.L., Jaramillo, C.,
 2010. The Late Miocene paleogeography of the Amazon Basin and the evolution of the
 Amazon River system. Earth-Science Reviews, 99, 99–124.
- Maddison, W.P., Maddison, D.R., 2021. Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis.
 Version 3.31. 2017.
- Mannion, P.D., Chiarenza, A.A., Godoy, P.L., Cheah, Y.N., 2019. Spatiotemporal sampling
 patterns in the 230 million year fossil record of terrestrial crocodylomorphs and their
 impact on diversity. Palaeontology, 62, 615–637.
- Methner, K., Campani, M., Fiebig, J., Löffler, N., Kempf, O., Mulch, A., 2020. Middle Miocene
 long-term continental temperature change in and out of pace with marine climate
 records. Scientific Reports, 10, 1–10.
- Muniz, F.P., Bissaro-Júnior, M.C., Guilherme, E., Souza-Filho, J.P., Negri, F.R., Hsiou, A.S.,
 2021. Vertebrate taphonomy of two upper Miocene bonebeds in western ProtoAmazonia (Solimões Formation, Brazil): insights from macrovertebrate and
 microvertebrate fossils. Palaios, 36, 269–282.
- Nunn, C.L., Zhu, L., 2014. Phylogenetic prediction to identify "evolutionary singularities". In:
 Garamszegi, L.Z. (Ed.), Modern Phylogenetic Comparative Methods and their
 Aplication in Evolutionary Biology. Springer, Berlin, 481–514.
- O'Brien, H.D., Lynch, L.M., Vliet, K.A., Brueggen, J., Erickson, G.M., Gignac, P.M., 2019.
 Crocodylian head width allometry and phylogenetic prediction of body size in extinct crocodyliforms. Integrative Organismal Biology, 1, obz006.
- Orme, D., Freckleton, R., Thomas, G., Petzoldt, T., Fritz, S., Isaac, N., Pearse, W., 2018. caper:
 comparative analysis of phylogenetics and evolution in R. R package version 1.0.1.
 <u>https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=caper</u>.
- Pagel M., 1999. Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. Nature, 401, 877–84.

- Pinheiro, A.E., Fortier, D.C., Pol, D., Campos, D.A., Bergqvist, L.P., 2013. A new *Eocaiman*(Alligatoridae, Crocodylia) from the Itaboraí Basin, Paleogene of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
 Historical Biology, 25, 327–337.
- Piras, P., Buscalioni, A.D., Teresi, L., Raia, P., Sansalone, G., Kotsakis, T., Cubo, J., 2014.
 Morphological integration and functional modularity in the crocodilian skull.
 Integrative Zoology, 9, 498–516.
- Platt, S.G., Sigler, L., Rainwater, T.R., 2010. Morelet's crocodile Crocodiles. Status Survey
 and Conservation Action Plan, 3, 79–83.
- Pol, D., Gasparini, Z., 2009. Skull anatomy of *Dakosaurus andiniensis* (Thalattosuchia:
 Crocodylomorpha) and the phylogenetic position of Thalattosuchia. Journal of
 Systematic Palaeontology, 7, 163–197.
- R Core Team, 2022. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL <u>https://www.R-project.org/</u>.
- Riff, D., Romano, P.S.R., Oliveira, G.R., Aguilera, O.A., 2010. Neogene crocodile and turtle
 fauna in northern South America. In: Hoorn, C., Wesselingh, F.P. (Eds.), Amazonia,
 Landscape and Species Evolution. Wiley, Oxford, 259–280.
- Salas-Gismondi, R., Flynn, J.J., Baby, P., Tejada-Lara, J.V., Wesselingh, F.P., Antoine, P.O.,
 2015. A Miocene hyperdiverse crocodylian community reveals peculiar trophic
 dynamics in proto-Amazonian mega-wetlands. Proceedings of the Royal Society B,
 282, 20142490.
- Sánchez-Villagra, M.R., Aguilera, O.A., 2006. Neogene vertebrates from Urumaco, Falcón
 State, Venezuela: diversity and significance. Journal of Systematic Palaeontology, 4,
 213–220.
- Scheyer, T.M., Delfino, M., 2016. The late Miocene caimanine fauna (Crocodylia: alligatoroidea) of the Urumaco Formation, Venezuela. Palaeontologia Electronica, 19, 1–57.
- Scheyer, T.M., Aguilera, O.A., Delfino, M., Fortier, D.C., Carlini, A.A., Sánchez, R., CarrilloBriceño, J.D., Quiroz, L., Sánchez-Villagra, M.R., 2013. Crocodylian diversity peak
 and extinction in the late Cenozoic of the northern Neotropics. Nature communications,
 4, 1–9.
- Scheyer, T.M., Hutchinson, J.R., Strauss, O., Delfino, M., Carrillo-Briceño, J.D., Sánchez, R.,
 Sánchez-Villagra, M.R., 2019. Giant extinct caiman breaks constraint on the axial
 skeleton of extant crocodylians. eLife, 8, e49972.
- Seebacher, F., Grigg, G.C., Beard, L.A, 1999. Crocodiles as dinosaurs: behavioural thermoregulation in very large ectotherms leads to high and stable body temperatures. Journal of Experimental Biology, 202, 77–86.

- Seebacher, F., Elsey, R.M., Trosclair III, P.L., 2003. Body temperature null distributions in reptiles with nonzero heat capacity: seasonal thermoregulation in the American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*). Physiological and Biochemical Zoology, 76, 348–359.
- Sereno, P.C., Larsson, H.C., Sidor, C.A., Gado, B., 2001. The giant crocodyliform *Sarcosuchus* from the Cretaceous of Africa. Science, 294, 1516–1519.
- Seymour, R.S., Smith, S.L., White, C.R., Henderson, D.M., Schwarz-Wings, D., 2012. Blood
 flow to long bones indicates activity metabolism in mammals, reptiles and dinosaurs.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 279, 451–456.
- Seymour, R.S., Gienger, C.M., Brien, M.L., Tracy, C.R., Manolis, S.C., Webb, G.J., Christian,
 K.A., 2013. Scaling of standard metabolic rate in estuarine crocodiles *Crocodylus porosus*. Journal of Comparative Physiology B, 183, 491–500.
- Shirley, M.H., Burtner, B., Oslisly, R., Sebag, D., Testa, O., 2017. Diet and body condition of
 cave-dwelling dwarf crocodiles (*Osteolaemus tetraspis*, Cope 1861) in Gabon. African
 journal of Ecology, 55, 411–422.
- Smaers, J.B., Mongle, C.S., 2014. evomap: R package for the evolutionary mapping of continuous traits. GitHub (https://github. com/JeroenSmaers/evomap).
- Solórzano, A., Núñez-Flores, M., Inostroza-Michael, O., Hernández, C.E., 2020. Biotic and abiotic factors driving the diversification dynamics of Crocodylia. Palaeontology, 63, 415–429.
- Souza-Filho, J.P., Souza, R.G., Hsiou, A.S., Riff, D., Guilherme, E., Negri, F.R., Cidade, G.M.,
 2018. A new caimanine (Crocodylia, Alligatoroidea) species from the Solimões
 Formation of Brazil and the phylogeny of Caimaninae. Journal of Vertebrate
 Paleontology, 38, e1528450.
- Steinthorsdottir, M., Coxall, H.K., Boer, A.M., Huber, M., Barbolini, N., Bradshaw, C.D.,
 Burls, N.J., Feakins, S.J., Gasson, E., Henderiks, J., Holbourn, A., Kiel, S., Kohn, M.J.,
 Knorr, G., Kürschner, W.M., Lear, C.H., Liebrand, D., Lunt, D.J., Mörs, T., Pearson,
 P.N., Pound, M.J., Stoll, H., Strömberg, C.A.E., 2021. The Miocene: The future of the
 past. Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology, 36, e2020PA004037.
- Super, J.R., Thomas, E., Pagani, M., Huber, M., O'Brien, C., Hull, P.M., 2018. North Atlantic
 temperature and pCO2 coupling in the early-middle Miocene. Geology, 46, 519–522.
- Targarona, R.R., Soberón, R.R., Tabet, M.A., & Thorbjarnarson, J.B., 2010. Cuban crocodile
 (*Crocodylus rhombifer*). Crocodiles. Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan, 3,
 114–118.
- Thorbjarnarson, J., Wang, X., He, L., 2001. Reproductive ecology of the Chinese alligator
 (Alligator sinensis) and implications for conservation. Journal of Herpetology, 35, 553–
 558.

- Thorbjarnarson, J.B., 2010. Black caiman *Melanosuchus niger*. Crocodiles. Status Survey and
 Conservation Action Plan, 3, 29–39.
- Van Weerd, M., 2010. Philippine crocodile *Crocodylus mindorensis*. Crocodiles. Status Survey
 and Conservation Action Plan, 3, 71–78.
- Venables, W.N., Ripley, B.D., 2002. Modern Applied Statistics with S, Fourth edition.
 Springer, New York. ISBN 0-387-95457-0.
- Verdade, L.M., 2000. Regression equations between body and head measurements in the broad snouted caiman (*Caiman latirostris*). Revista Brasileira de Biologia, 60, 469–482.
- Walter, J., Darlim, G., Massonne, T., Aase, A., Frey, E., Rabi, M., 2021. On the origin of
 Caimaninae: insights from new fossils of *Tsoabichi greenriverensis* and a review of the
 evidence. Historical Biology, 34, 1–16.
- Webb, G.J., Manolis, S.C., Brien, M.L., 2010. Saltwater crocodile *Crocodylus porosus*.
 Crocodiles. Status Survey and Conservation Action Plan, 3, 99–113.
- Webb, G.J.W., Messel, H., Crawford, J., Yerbury, M.J., 1978. Growth rates of *Crocodylus porosus* (Reptilia: Crocodilia) from Arnhem Land, northern Australia. Wildlife
 Research, 5, 385–399.
- Wesselingh, F.P., Salo, J.A., 2006. A Miocene perspective on the evolution of the Amazonian
 biota. Scripta Geologica, 133, 439–458.
- Wesselingh, F.P., Guerrero, J., Räsänen, M.E., Romero Pittman, L., Vonhof, H.B., 2006.
 Landscape evolution and depositional processes in the Miocene Amazonian Pebas
 lake/wetland system: evidence from exploratory boreholes in northeastern Peru. Scripta
 Geologica, 133, 323–363.
- Wilberg, E.W., 2017. Investigating patterns of crocodyliform cranial disparity through the
 Mesozoic and Cenozoic. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 181, 189–208.
- Woodward, A.R., White, J.H., Linda, S.B. 1995. Maximum size of the alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*). Journal of Herpetology, 29, 507–513.
- Young, M.T., Rabi, M., Bell, M.A., Foffa, D., Steel, L., Sachs, S., Peyer, K., 2016. Big-headed
 marine crocodyliforms and why we must be cautious when using extant species as body
 length proxies for long-extinct relatives. Palaeontologia Electronica, 19, 1–14.

Highlights:

- Body proportions of crocodylians vary during ontogeny;
- Juveniles should not be used to estimate the body size of extinct crocodylians;
- Phylogenetic approach provides more conservative body size estimates;
- Dorsal cranial length is less robust than skull width as a proxy for body size.

Journal Pression

Declaration of interests

☑ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□ The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Journal Prevention